Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/789,963	SEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Tae H. Yoon	1762
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) <u>Tae H. Yoon</u> .	(3)	
(2) Mr. Nichols.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 4 February 2011	Time:	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ Yes ☐ No ☐ If Yes, provide a brief description: ☐ If Yes		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: Possible 112, 2 nd PP		
Claims discussed: 71, 83 and 85		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II. SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner suggested Examiner's amendment in order to avoid 112, 2 nd PP issues and applicant agreed with it.		
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redirectly resulted in the allowance of the application. The of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate redid not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary 	e examiner will provide a writte ecord of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
/Tae H Yoon/ Primary Examiner, AU 1762 (A	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)
(Tipphoant Tipphoant & Representative dignature in appropriate)		